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Dynamic Research and Testing Laboratories (DRTL)

- Component Risk Mitigation
- Destructive Physical Analysis
- Failure Analysis
- Parts Screening
- Product Qualifications
- Material Qualifications
- Consulting Services

Our staff offers highly respected technical expertise, personable service, and quick response.
Overview

1) Explain why X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) has become an integral part of a counterfeit avoidance inspection process.
2) Provide evidence of XRF being used to identify suspect counterfeit parts.
3) Discuss challenges associated with device verification in XRF.
4) Offer guidance relative to what to do when XRF cannot be compared to the manufacturer’s datasheet.
5) Outline the significance or insignificance of having a “golden sample“ for comparative purposes.
6) Explain when and if XRF can or cannot accurately conclude if a part is or is not counterfeit.
7) Explain when OCM verification is required.
8) Offer guidance relative to determining the minimum lot size to be tested.
9) Offer guidance relative to selecting samples for test from a large lot.
10) Provide guidance that will assist attendees in the avoidance of “false positive“ or “false negative” XRF interpretations.
XRF technique is applicable to electronic and other parts as listed in the accompanying list in the main document. In general, the detection technique is meant for use on piece parts that are not yet assembled on to a circuit board or are disassembled from a circuit board. Consult documents that provide guidance on assessing assembled circuit cards for evaluation of suspect parts that are already on a circuit card. Parts disassembled from a circuit board may have material contamination from the assembly, use and disassembly process making XRF detection results not suitable for comparison purpose.
The purpose of this document is to provide information and instructions on how to use XRF as a technique to detect risk of counterfeit electronic parts including active, discrete, passive, electro-mechanical and connectors parts. The process of detection is based on identification of elements (or absence thereof) in a component. The counterfeit detection process using XRF can be on as received components or on de-lidded, de-capsulated, or otherwise prepared parts. The detection of counterfeit risk can also be based on the concentration levels of materials under consideration. For reliable decision making, findings from XRF analysis needs to be compared with known good parts like any other analysis methods.
Definition

- The process of emissions of characteristic x-rays. Analysis using x-ray fluorescence is called "X-ray Fluorescence Spectroscopy (XRF)."

- The XRF instrument shall be capable of qualitatively identifying the metals present in a complex sample and providing quantitative accuracy sufficient to insure at least 3 wt% Lead (Pb).

- The detector resolution shall be sufficient to quantify lead (Pb) with +/-2 wt% accuracy, in the range from 0 to 10 wt%, in combination with interfering energy lines from elements such as bismuth (Bi). Note, proportional counter detectors may not be able to meet this requirement, a peltier cooled pin diode detector or detector providing increased resolution may be required to achieve this. The excitation voltage for the X-rays shall be a minimum of 40 KeV to support detection of higher energy lines.
XRF Uses

- Bulk material identification (Elemental Only)
- Lead finish verification (Gold, Nickel, Tin-Lead, 100% Tin…)
- Identification of prohibited materials (Cadmium and Zinc Finishes)
- Non-destructive lead finish thickness measurements
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Reference Documents

JEDEC, JESD 213 - Standard Test Method Utilizing X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) for Analyzing Component Finishes and Solder Alloys to Determine Tin (Sn) – Lead (Pb) Content, March 2010.


MIL-STD-1580 Requirement 9 DETAILED REQUIREMENTS FOR PROHIBITED MATERIALS ANALYSIS AND INCOMING INSPECTION OF EXTERNAL PACKAGE PLATING MATERIALS USING X-RAY FLUORESCENCE SPECTROMETRY OR SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPY WITH ENERGY DISPERSIVE SPECTROSCOPY
X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) is a fast and simple way of material composition detection. Its most notable qualities include no, or minimal, sample preparation, non-destructive analysis, and compatibility with solid, liquid, and powdered samples. XRF spectrometers range from light hand-held devices to table-top machines. The principle of operation is detection of energy level of ejected electron under incident X-ray. Figure below shows the basic principle and Figure 2 shows a schematic of an XRF system. In this schematic diagram, the following items are labeled.
Description of Methodology & Procedure

- X-ray tube (1) (cathode, anode) which provides the primary beam (2)
- Primary beam filter (optional) (3)
- Collimator (4) Video Camera
- Sample (5)
- Characteristic fluorescence radiation (6)
- Detector (7)
- Count rate (8)
- Spectrum/Measurement Results (9)
Principle of XRF Spectroscopy

• Incident X-ray beam strikes sample
• Excitation of characteristic lines (x-ray fluorescence)
• Element specific characteristic radiation is detected and a spectrum is created
• Software evaluates spectrum for presence/absence of elements
• Useful for bulk material analysis and layer thickness measurements
Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis is sometimes referred to also as EDS or EDAX analysis. It is a technique used for identifying the elemental composition of a region of interest on a sample. The EDX analysis system works as an integrated feature of a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).

Fig. 1. A diagram of atoms traveling in a lattice, entering at the top. This illustrates Monte Carlo Dynamics. (Reimer, Ludwig, Scanning Electron Microscopy Springer-Verlag, 1985, p. 99)
Standards Required for Detection of 3% Pb

From JESD 213

4.7 Verification Standards

For tin – lead (Sn / Pb) alloys, a tin-lead composition standard with a lead content of 3.0 wt% is required. This Sn / Pb standard shall be a cast alloy sample made from high purity tin and lead. The values for the standards shall be traceable to values provided by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). If surface finish thickness is a concern, a foil standard of ≥ 99.9 wt% tin of a specified thickness consistent with component design is required.

NOTE For guidance on traceability to values for NIST Standard Reference Materials or other certified reference materials, refer to the NIST Policy on Traceability at http://ts.nist.gov/traceability/.

From MIL-STD-1580 Req 9

9.1.2.7 Verification Standards: For tin – lead (Sn / Pb) alloys, a minimum of two verification standards are required: (1) a ≥ 99.9 % tin foil of a specified thickness and (2) a tin-lead composition foil standard with a lead content of 3.0 wt% and a thickness of no more than 0.6 mil (15 μm). This Sn / Pb foil standard shall be a cast alloy sample made from reagent grade tin and lead. The values for the foil standards shall be traceable to values provided by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).
**Dynamic Research and Testing Laboratories (DRTL) Proficiency Test Report for XRF testing**

2011080084 Date 08/27/11

**SnPb Standard 3.032Pb S/N ACYFS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Uncertainty</th>
<th>Value of K</th>
<th>Probability Distribution</th>
<th>Standard Uncertainty</th>
<th>% confidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Falsification standards and reference materials used</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods and equipment used</td>
<td>0.6545</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental conditions</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties and condition of the item being tested</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Operator Automated Measurements by Machine</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRTL's XRF Estimated Measurement Uncertainty</td>
<td>0.2100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DRTL's XRF Estimated Measurement Percent Uncertainty** 15.2%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IEC Albuquerque, NM4517</th>
<th>Eastern Applied Research, Lockport NY4084</th>
<th>IEC Newark, NJ4553</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>StdDev</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StdUncert</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Units of Measurement: %T Lead (Pb)

The DRTL process of estimating Measurement Uncertainty begins with the identification of sources contributing to the uncertainty including, but are not limited to:

- reference standards and reference materials used,
- methods and equipment used,
- environmental conditions,
- properties and condition of the item being tested,
- the Operator Automated Measurements by Machine.

The XRF (SnPb3.03%) / ABQ Serial Numbers / ISO CERT 17025 EAR / ISO Cert ABQ XRF / ISO CERT Newark XRF /
Measurement Uncertainty (GUM)

The requirement is for a minimum of 3% of Lead (Pb)

The uncertainty in the measurement is +/-15.2%

A true 3% Lead content could measure between 2.54% and 3.46%

Or a minimum measurement of 3.46% is required to ensure a 3.0% Lead Sample
In summary, a bench top XRF, equipped with a PIN Diode or Silicon Drift detector, and utilizing a plating thickness plus composition software application file is the preferred instrument. A lower cost handheld system or proportional counter detector could be used as long as the beam size and bulk material analysis or lower resolution are fully understood and not an issue for the users application.

### Table 1 — Matching XRF Instrument Beam Size to Sample Size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam Collimation</th>
<th>X-ray Beam Size</th>
<th>Sample Area</th>
<th>Typical Samples</th>
<th>Exclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capillary Optic (SEM Mounted X-ray detector)</td>
<td>2 mil (50 μm) (see note **)</td>
<td>6 sq. mil (0.004 sq. mm) to 50 sq. mil (0.032 sq. mm)</td>
<td>Chip components, fine wire, round leaded devices</td>
<td>Rounded or irregular surfaces that change height more than 10 mils (250 μm) relative to a line tangent to the highest point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillary Optic (Benchtop XRF)</td>
<td>3 mil (80 μm)</td>
<td>15 sq. mil (0.009 sq. mm) to 200 sq. mil (0.13 sq. mm)</td>
<td>Chip components, fine wire, round leaded devices</td>
<td>Rounded or irregular surfaces that change height more than 10 mils (250 μm) relative to a line tangent to the highest point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Slot (Benchtop XRF)</td>
<td>8 mil (203 μm)</td>
<td>128 sq. mil (0.083 sq. mm) to 0.25 sq. inch (1.6 sq. cm)</td>
<td>SMT diodes, Ribbon leaded components, wire and cable, hardware</td>
<td>Size limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Slot (Handheld XRF)</td>
<td>About 400 mil (1 mm to 10 mm)</td>
<td>As small as 0.25 sq. inch (1.6 sq. cm)</td>
<td>Fasteners and hardware</td>
<td>Size limited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In this case, the primary beam is an electron beam, not an X-ray beam.**
XRF Section (SAE G-19 Counterfeit Detection Committee)
X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) CP Inspection/Screening Requirements

- X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectroscopy is a tool for material composition detection. Its most notable benefits include no, or minimal, sample preparation, non-destructive analysis, and potential to use with a large variety of electronic components. In addition, under certain conditions, XRF can be used to determine layer thicknesses in multilayer structures. Although primarily a non-destructive analysis technique, the tool can be used for investigating internal material composition (e.g., wire bond, passivation, and metallization) of suitably prepared samples. In general, the detection technique is meant for use on piece parts that are not yet assembled on to a circuit board or are disassembled from a circuit board. If necessary, components assembled on a board can also be evaluated. XRF spectrometers range from light hand-held devices to table-top machines.

- The purpose of this procedure is to use XRF as a technique to detect risk of electronic parts being counterfeit. The coverage can include active, discrete, passive, electro-mechanical and connectors parts. The process of risk level determination is based on identification of elements (or absence thereof) in specific locations on or within a component. The counterfeit detection process using XRF can be on external surfaces of as received components or on de-lidded, de-capsulated, or otherwise prepared parts. The detection of counterfeit risk can also be based on the concentration levels of materials under consideration and thicknesses of the material layers. For reliable decision making, XRF analysis can be compared to the findings from known good parts. However, in the absence of ability to make such comparisons, XRF analysis can be used to determine the component to component consistency within a purchased lot. XRF can also help identify the manufacturing technique used to place a component within a particular timeframe.

- The component process flow for XRF based detection will of course depend on the purpose of the evaluation. For example, a lead finish examination shall be performed on the 3 sample devices that were examined for Remarking and Resurfacing, to verify that the Lead Finish / Solder Ball & Column composition matches the device specifications or datasheet. Along with the plating material(s)
Best results are typically obtained when individual design, construction and material requirements are known; meaning the screener or the evaluator must have access to the engineering data for the item under test. Prior physical analysis may be required to gather such data. Some of these items need to be ascertained before beginning XRF analysis. Examples are given below.

- Spatial resolution: required for electronic components.
- Alignment, Focusing System, and Scanning Capability
- Measurements Location and Frequency: must be performed on single items; multiple samples under the beam are unacceptable. Devices with varied geometry shall be measured at each different plane.
XRF Sampling

Proposed Sampling Plan

Per JESD-213 5.3

Sampling Plans size shall be a minimum of five (5) components per plating lot, or as specified in a statistically based sampling plan derived from MIL-STD-1916. If visual inspection of the analysis surface at 30X can provide evidence of material homogeneity, one spot per sample may be analyzed. If the sample surface is visually heterogeneous at 30X magnification or less, each visually distinct surface requires a separate scan on each sample. The testing facility shall determine the number of spot location measurements required per sample to ensure a high level of confidence is obtained. This determination shall be based upon the equipment used for testing, manufacturing processes, materials used and geometry of the component being tested.

Per MIL-STD-1580 9.1.3.14

Sampling Plan: A minimum of 1 sample per homogeneous lot shall be subjected to Prohibited Materials analysis as long as the samples appear consistent in optical appearance from sample to sample. If any variations are noted in optical appearance among samples, a minimum of 2 samples shall be evaluated and shall consist of the devices that vary in appearance.
XRF Section (SAE G-19 Counterfeit Detection Committee)

X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Counterfeit Flow Detection Test

Steps in performing analysis with a bench top system:

1. Place the sample in the spectrometer
2. Position and focus of the sample:
3. Choose the analysis mode you need to use
   - Spectrum
   - Material
   - Thickness
4. Choose the parameters of operation
   - Voltage
   - Primary beam filter
   - Collimator size
   - Anode current
   - Acquisition time
5. Perform analysis
6. Create report
XRF can detect counterfeit (or poor quality) parts by measuring the elemental composition of materials present in the parts and comparing them with an authentic part. It facilitates simultaneous analysis for 20-25 elements. It is non-destructive, does not require sample preparation and it provides relatively quick analysis results.

• However, there is potential for false positive and false negative detection for elements. Users should be aware of the issues related to the automated analysis software.
• Heterogeneity vs homogeneity of the target area of inspection.
• Atomic range of detection in between the elements Aluminum and Uranium (Z=13 to 92).
• Sample size (i.e., the size of the part) v/s Spot size limitations.
A report on XRF inspection of part authentication should include the following details.

- Part number of item under test (to include lot/serial number if applicable)
- Number of parts inspected
- Settings used for each part
- Type of equipment used to include make and model
- Identification of material requirements verification (engineering data, additional testing of known good part)
- Key differences observed between DUT and known good part (if available)
- Results of testing to includes measurements/readings to include identification of test spot location
- Summary and conclusions
XRF Section (SAE G-19 Counterfeit Detection Committee)

X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Test Report
XRF Section (SAE G-19 Counterfeit Detection Committee)

X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectra
XRF Section (SAE G-19 Counterfeit Detection Committee)

X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Test Report

No. 4 PbSn Calibration Standard 502 u .0
XRF Section (SAE G-19 Counterfeit Detection Committee)

X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Test Report
XRF Section (SAE G-19 Counterfeit Detection Committee)

X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Test Report
XRF Section (SAE G-19 Counterfeit Detection Committee)

X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Test Report
Frequently Asked Questions
1. Can I detect counterfeits via XRF?
2. Are XRF systems safe to use? Considering health effects, dosimetry requirements and operator safety.
3. How often should the XRF system be serviced?
4. Are there local, state or federal regulations that govern installation, use and operation of XRF systems?
5. Is handheld, Bench top, or Capillary Optic XRF necessary for counterfeit part identification?
CSAM to Facilitate Lead Re-Finish Verification

- Scanning Acoustic Microscopy (CSAM)
- Transmitted or Reflected?
- Package Examples
  - Plastic Parts
  - Resistors
  - Capacitors
  - Transformers
  - Filters
  - Etc.
• Delidding and/or decapping are examples of mechanical de-cap
• Transistor outline (TO) package requires the lid removal via cutting of metal lid or weld
Delidding and/or decapping are examples of mechanical de-cap.

Ceramic DIP packages require to break the seal glass, or the cutting of the weld around a metal lid.
## XRF Section (SAE G-19 Counterfeit Detection Committee)

### 8. Risk level inspection tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Critical Risk</th>
<th>High Risk</th>
<th>Moderate Risk</th>
<th>Low Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optically Inspect/Photo document</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire Pull</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Die Shear (hermetic)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball Shear</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM Inspection</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform EDX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlayer/Inspect Metalization</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glassivation Layer Integrity Testing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
<td>(optional)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13. Certification Sample Questions (question answers available in Section 14)

13.1. Chemical Handling

1. (True or False) Nitric acid should not be rinsed with isopropyl alcohol while decapping.
2. (True or False) Acetone and Alcohol bottles must be stored in color coded rinse bottles while under the fume hood, "red" for Acetone "yellow" for Isopropyl.
3. (True or False) Acid spills should be treated cleaned with dry paper towels since they can absorb fluids quickly.
4. (True or False) Acid bottle storage within the fume hood is not acceptable. Small Erlenmeyer flasks (identifying the acids accordingly) with stopper are appropriate.
5. (True or False) Technicians wearing glasses are not required to wear safety goggles while under the fume hood since their glasses are considered a form of protection.

13.1.2. Safety Equipment Required

1. In the event of a chemical spill, _________ should be on hand.
   A) Bucket of water
   B) mop
   C) Chemical spill containment material
2. Which of the following should be present in every chemical lab?
   A) Chemical grade fume hood
   B) Chemical storage cabinet
   C) Eye wash station
   D) All of the above
3. (True or False) An emergency shower station is required in every chemical lab.
4. Which of the following should be worn at all times while decapsulating?
   A) Chemical grade lab coat
   B) Chemical grade lab gloves
   C) Face shield/eye protection
   D) All of the above
5. (True or False) Tweezers should always be used to handle a component until it has been thoroughly rinsed of all chemicals.

13.1.3. Proper Techniques for Manual and Automated Decapsulation

1. When selecting a gasket size for a component, you should pick a gasket that...
Overview

1) Explain why X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) has become an integral part of a counterfeit avoidance inspection process.
2) Provide evidence of XRF being used to identify suspect counterfeit parts.
3) Discuss challenges associated with device verification in XRF.
4) Offer guidance relative to what to do when XRF cannot be compared to the manufacturer’s datasheet.
5) Outline the significance or insignificance of having a “golden sample“ for comparative purposes.
6) Explain when and if XRF can or cannot accurately conclude if a part is or is not counterfeit.
7) Explain when OCM verification is required.
8) Offer guidance relative to determining the minimum lot size to be tested.
9) Offer guidance relative to selecting samples for test from a large lot.
10) Provide guidance that will assist attendees in the avoidance of “false positive“ or “false negative” XRF interpretations.
Dynamic Testing and Research Laboratories (DRTL), LLC

1. ISO 17025 Promotes Proficiency, Capability, and Accuracy.


3. Failure Analysis – promotes detailed analysis of “Sub-Standard” parts failure modes.
Quality = What is ISO 17025?

ISO/IEC 17025 is the main standard used by testing and calibration laboratories. Originally known as ISO/IEC Guide 25, ISO/IEC 17025 was initially issued by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in 1999. There are many commonalities with the ISO 9000 standard, but ISO/IEC 17025 adds in the concept of competence to the equation. And it applies directly to those organizations that produce testing and calibration results. Since its initial release, a second release was made in 2005 after it was agreed that it needed to have its quality system words more closely aligned with the 2000 version of ISO 9001. The contents of ISO/IEC 17025 - The ISO/IEC 17025 standard itself comprises five elements that are Scope, Normative References, Terms and Definitions, Management Requirements and Technical Requirements. The two main sections in ISO/IEC 17025 are Management Requirements and Technical Requirements. Management requirements are primarily related to the operation and effectiveness of the quality management system within the laboratory. Technical requirements includes factors which determines the correctness and reliability of the tests and calibrations performed in laboratory. Laboratories use ISO/IEC 17025 to implement a quality system aimed at improving their ability to consistently produce valid results. [2] It is also the basis for accreditation from an Accreditation Body(i.e., ILAC, A2LA, ACLASS, L-A-B, IAS, NVLAP and PJLA). Since the standard is about competence, accreditation is simply formal recognition of a demonstration of that competence. A prerequisite for a laboratory to become accredited is to have a documented quality management system. The usual contents of the quality manual follow the outline of the ISO/IEC 17025 standard.
## DRTL SERVICES - Comparison
### DRTL Risk Mitigation Testing Strategies
(IDEA-1010, AS5553, Mil-std-1580, Modified)


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legend:</strong></td>
<td>Same / Similar to</td>
<td>Significant Disparity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Management requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Management system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Document control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Review of requests, tenders and contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Subcontracting of tests and calibrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Purchasing services and supplies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Service to the client</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Complaints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Control of nonconforming testing and/or calibration work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>Corrective action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>Preventive action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>Control of records</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>Internal audits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>Management reviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## DRTL SERVICES - Comparison

**DRTL Risk Mitigation Testing Strategies**
*(IDEA-1010, AS5553, Mil-std-1580, Modified)*


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legend:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Same / Similar to</strong></td>
<td><strong>Significant Disparity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Technical requirements</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.1</td>
<td>Personnel Certification</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.4</td>
<td>Job Description - minimum requirements</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Accommodation and environmental conditions</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Test and calibration methods and method validation</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.2</td>
<td>Standard Method</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.3</td>
<td>Lab-developed Method</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.5</td>
<td>Non-standard Method</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.6.2</td>
<td>Testing Laboratory - measurement uncertainty estimation</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Measurement traceability</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Handling of test and calibration items</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Assuring the quality of test and calibration results Proficiency Testing Program - 3rd party, inter- or intra-lab</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>Reporting the results</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.10.5</td>
<td>Opinions and Interpretations</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Scope of Accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIELD OF TEST</th>
<th>SPECIFIC TESTS OR PROPERTIES MEASURED</th>
<th>SPECIFICATION, STANDARD METHOD OR TECHNIQUE USED</th>
<th>*DETECTION LIMIT/ RANGE/EQUIPMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Destructive Testing (NDT)</td>
<td>Elemental content by XRF (Lead, tin, etc.)</td>
<td>JESD213</td>
<td>Fischerscope XDAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Destructive Testing (NDT)</td>
<td>Acoustic Microscopy (CSAM) Examination / Inspection</td>
<td>IPC/JEDEC, J-STD-035</td>
<td>Sonix Echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>Internal Examination / Inspection</td>
<td>MIL-STD-883, Method 2010 and 2013 MIL-STD-750 Method 2072</td>
<td>Olympus BX50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[http://www.DRTLonline.com](http://www.DRTLonline.com)
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### Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>4 Critical Risk</th>
<th>3 High Risk</th>
<th>2 Moderate Risk</th>
<th>1 Low Risk</th>
<th>0 Very Low Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. External visual inspection,</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Remarking &amp; Resurfacing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. XRF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. External visual inspection,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Delid Physical Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. SEM/OPTICAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Radiographic/X-RAY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Acoustic Microscopy (AM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Seal (hermetic devices)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Temp cycling/End point</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. DC Curve Trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Full DC Test, Ambient Temp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. DC, Key(AC, Switching, Functional), Ambient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. DC, Key(AC, Switching, functional), Ambient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. DC, Key(AC, Switching, Functional), over</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Burn-In &amp; Final Electricals with Limits &amp; Delta Limits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:** Y – Yes, test performed

---

**Component Test Plan Defined**

**HIGH RISK**
- DPA (MIL-STD-1580)
- External Visual
- Internal Visual
- X-ray
- XRF
- CSAM
- Solderability
- Environmental Stress Test
- Thermal Shock
- SEM
- EDX/S
- FTIR/TGA
- Electrical Test
- Burn-In
- Fine & Gross leak
- RGA
- PIND

**MEDIUM RISK**
- AS95553
- DPA
- External Visual
- Internal Visual
- Marking Permanency
- X-Ray
- XRF
- Thermal Cycle
- Electrical Test
- Burn-In
- Fine & Gross Leak

**LOW RISK**
- IDEA 1010
- Photograph Parts
- Co-planarity
- Damaged Leads and Terminations
- Contamination and Oxidation
- Evidence of Poor Handling, Storage or Prior Use
- Rework or Refurbishment
- Remarked and Substandard
ERAI/IHS Membership

Counterfeit Part Analysis

We would all prefer to follow the standards of the U.S. Government Industry Data Exchange Program (AS5333 – Counterfeit Electronic Parts, Avoidance, Detection, Mitigation, and Disposition) or the Independent Distributors of Electronics Association (IDEA-STD-1010-A). Unfortunately, many of us cannot use an approved vendor due to long lifecycle product demands, requiring us to perform Component Risk Mitigation Testing Methodology. Our contention is that the term “Counterfeit Parts Analysis” is better served via a Component Risk Mitigation Test Plan by using existing Destructive Physical Failure, Construction, and Electrical Analysis practices.

Legislative Advocacy

DRTL is focused on addressing the most pressing issues facing today’s procurement of electronic components. We are strong advocates of the U.S. governments’ push on legislative changes to detect and avoid counterfeit parts leaking into our supply chain, as referenced in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Sec. 818 – Detection and Avoidance of Counterfeit Electronic Parts, and Sec. 2320 – Trafficking in Counterfeit Goods or Services) and the recent Government Accountability Office Report (DoD Supply Chain – Suspect Counterfeit Parts Can Be Found on Internet Purchasing Platforms).
DRTL and IEC Electronics

Certifications:
- ACASIS
- Accredited Nadcap™
- IPC 600/610/620/7711
- IPC J-STD-001
- ITAR

Memberships:
- ERAI Member
- SMTA
- SAE Aerospace G19 Counterfeit Electronic Components Committee
- SAE International

DRT L and IEC Electronics

A Member of the IEC Electronics Family of Companies
ERAI & DRTL Team

Rachel Garcia - Dynamic Research and Testing Labs, LLC.
Rachel is a Component Analyst at Dynamic Research and Testing Laboratories (DRTL). She has 8 years of experience with Destructive Physical Analysis (DPA) and holds an A.A.S in Computer Electronics Engineering Technology from ITT Technical Institute in Albuquerque, NM. Rachel is currently a member of SAE G-19 Committee.

Click here for a full bio

Andrew Buchan - Dynamic Research and Testing Labs, LLC.
Andy is a Graduate from the Rochester Institute of Technology with a Bachelors of Science in Manufacturing Engineering Technology. He began his career in the Electronics industry at IEC Electronics holding a variety of roles including Manufacturing Engineering Technician, Materials Lab Technician, Materials Lab Engineer. Andy joined Dynamic Research and Testing Laboratories (DRTL) as an Electronics Engineer. With his experience in failure analysis of printed circuit board assemblies Andy brings a unique perspective to DRTL.

Click here for a full bio

Clifton Aldridge - Dynamic Research and Testing Labs, LLC.
Mr. Aldridge has over 20 years experience with significant aerospace companies. He also gained extensive senior management experience as vice president of Analytical Solutions, Inc. His background includes performing remote component test verification of devices in various radiation environments, construction and failure analysis of microelectronic devices and component engineering activities encompassing automated test development and part obsolescence management. Mr. Aldridge holds a Bachelors of Science degree in Electrical Engineering Technology from DeVry Institute of Technology in Kansas City, Missouri.

Click here for a full bio
Can You Afford Not To have A Risk Mitigation Strategy?

Thank you!